

## REMARKS

In response to the Office Action of March 23, 2005, Applicant elects Group 1 and FIG. 3 with traverses, because the method set forth in claim 41 is effectively the same in scope as the system claimed in claim 1, and the system in claim 1 is made by the method set forth in claim 41. Furthermore, it would be more efficient for both the applicant and the Patent and Trademark Office to Examine all claims of the invention at once.


With respect to the identified species, Applicant submits that claims 1-7, 12-18, 21-22, 27-44 and 50 all read on FIG. 3.

The claims have been amended to correct minor typographical errors and formal drawings have been provided.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit any overpayment or debit any amount owing to Account No. 502720.

DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,



Randall B. Bateman  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 37,774  
BATEMAN IP LAW GROUP  
8 East Broadway, Suite 550  
P. O. Box 1319  
Salt Lake City, UT 84110  
Telephone: (801) 533-0320  
Facsimile: (801) 533-0323

**IN THE DRAWINGS:**

Please replace the originally filed drawings with the formal drawings attached hereto.